

12th Heads of Schools Meeting

PLANNING EDUCATION IN A DIGITAL WORLD

Warsaw, 31 March to 02 April, 2017

(Venue: Warsaw University of Technology, Main Building)

Address: Plac Politechniki 1, 00-661 Warszawa)

PROGRAMME:

30 March 2017, Thursday – AESOP ExCo Meeting

(only for members of the Executive Committee)

- | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 09.30 - 12.30 | ExCo Meeting (coffee break) |
| 12.30 - 14.00 | Lunch break |
| 14.00 - 18.00 | ExCo Meeting (coffee break) |

31 March 2017, Friday – AESOP CoRep Meeting

(only for members of the Council of Representatives)

- | | |
|----------------------|--|
| 09.00 - 09.30 | Registration for CoRep Meeting (coffee) |
| 09.30 - 11.00 | AESOP Council of Representatives Meeting |
| 11.00 - 11.30 | Coffee break |
| 11.30 - 13.00 | AESOP Council of Representatives Meeting |
| 13.00 - 14.30 | Lunch break |
| 14.30 - 16.00 | AESOP Council of Representatives Meeting |
| 16.00 - 16.30 | Coffee break |
| 16.30 - 18.00 | Meeting of the Excellence in Education Board |
| 19.30 | 12th Heads of Schools Meeting WELCOME RECEPTION |

01 April 2017, Saturday – AESOP 12th Heads of School Meeting

(delegates from member schools: heads of schools / any key persons designated by the schools)

- 09.30 - 10.00** Registration for HoS Meeting (coffee)
- 10.00 - 10.30** Welcome Addresses:
1. Rector of WUT, *Professor Jan Szmidt*
2. President of AESOP, *Professor Anna Geppert*
3. President of CSERP, *Professor Tadeusz Markowski*
- 10.30 - 12.30** Plenary Debate
Introductory speeches followed by discussion
Speakers:
1. Nicolas Douay, *Planning in a digital world: from the power of the algorithm to the uberisation of planning*
2. Michele Campagna, *Requirements for the planning curriculum in a digital world*
3. Tadeusz Markowski, *The development and the challenges for Planning education in Poland*
- 12.30 - 14.00** Lunch break
- 14.00 - 16.00** Workshop 1: ***Big data vs field work****
Workshop 2: ***Online courses vs classroom*****
Workshop 3: ***Virtual vs place based studios******
- 16.00 - 16.30** Coffee break
- 16.30 - 17.30** Plenary Debate
- 17.30 - 19.30** Walk about in the Old Town

02 April 2017, Sunday - Study Tour

- 10.00 - 13.00** Study Tour

12th Heads of Schools Meeting

Warsaw, 31 March to 02 April, 2017

PLANNING EDUCATION IN A DIGITAL WORLD

Digital technology and tools have pervaded all spheres of society and life, planning professional practice and education included. One can differentiate between the technologies and tools used for / in planning, and those which are used in planning education. Most of the planning programmes in Europe and across the globe introduce their students to various software tools for data collection, management and analysis, as well as visualisation and graphical communication of information, ideas, designs, and proposals. From basic spreadsheet, statistical analysis and presentation tools, the technological toolbox has expanded to include geographic information systems (GIS), simulation / modelling, 3D visualisation tools, social media and mobile applications for collection of user-generated content (e.g., crowd-sourcing, volunteered geographic information - VGI). The software skills are highly demanded and valued by planning organisations - both public and private. At the same time, the acquisition of these skills in the course of undergraduate or graduate planning studies competes with other programme content requirements (in some cases prescribed by accreditation bodies).

Planning educators are faced with hard choices and have to find effective ways of incorporating the technology in their teaching. In addition, other set of technologies - educational technologies - is being promoted by both university administrations and industry. While some of the software tools for planning could serve the educational purposes, there are also specific educational technologies, which impose another layer of complexity in the teaching process. Online teaching with digital 'blackboards', discussion threads and blogs, Internet-based research sources, virtual labs, and Massive Online Open Courses (MOOCs), are all challenging the traditional methods, without clear understanding of their benefits or improvements they bring to the students' learning process.

This workshop is intended to critically examine the topic by raising the relevant questions and promoting a constructive dialogue among planning educators. What are the experiences? What have we learned so far? How do we respond? Where is the balance? What are the challenges?

***WORKSHOP 1: BIG DATA VS FIELD WORK**

In a recent public event, a panellist (planning consultant) stated that Google Maps / Earth have had a negative effect on the work in his office. Namely, rather than going to the field (town, neighbourhood, site) some of the colleagues resort to the digital resources for the purpose of familiarisation with the project area. The proliferation and accessibility of digital data (big data in particular) have reduced the need for fieldwork. But, could fieldwork be replaced? What are the consequences of dealing with spaces through digital sources? What would be the most beneficial use of digital resources?

****WORKSHOP 2: ONLINE COURSES VS CLASSROOM**

Planning education has gone online. Nowadays, it is possible to obtain a whole planning degree remotely via the Internet; increasingly, a partial content - several modules / courses might be available for online learning within the traditional programme structure; finally Massive Online Open Courses (MOOCs) are available from any place and institution on the globe. Could planning be taught online? What is gained and what is lost in the process?

*****WORKSHOP 3: VIRTUAL VS PLACE-BASED STUDIOS**

Studio work is essential for planning education. Practical examples of planning issues and dealing with them in a workshop setting is a well-practiced and proven method in most (if not all) planning schools. The interactions of students in the physical studio spaces offer valuable experiences in teamwork and human interaction, particularly if a different disciplinary perspective is included. Ideas emerge as students work in groups or individually side by side, and the expression and examination of their ideas is facilitated by the physical presence and proximity. How feasible are the virtual studios? How do the virtual and place-based studio compare, i.e., what are the advantages and disadvantages of each?